arXiv:1607.07580v1 [math.AP] 26 Jul 2016

A note on the eigenvalues of fractional Hardy-Sobolev operator with indefinite weight

Sarika Goyal*

Department of Mathematics,

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi-16, India

Abstract

In this article, we study the eigenvalue of nonlinear $p-$fractional Hardy operator

$$(-\Delta)_p^\alpha u - \mu\frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^{p\alpha}} = \lambda V(x)|u|^{p-2}u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega,$$

where $n > p\alpha$, $p \geq 2$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $0 \leq \mu < C_{n,\alpha,p}$ and Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with Lipschitz boundary containing 0. In particular, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ is admitted. The weight function V may change sign and may have singular points. We also show that the least positive eigenvalue is simple and it is unique associated to a non-negative eigenfunction. Moreover, we proved that there exists a sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$.

Key words: Eigenvalue problem, fractional Hardy-Sobolev operator, indefinite weight.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A15, 35B33, 35H39

*email: sarika1.iitd@gmail.com

1 Introduction

In this article, we study the nonlinear eigenvalue problem of $p-$fractional Hardy operator

$$(-\Delta)_p^\alpha - \mu\frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^{p\alpha}} = \lambda V(x)|u|^{p-2}u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \tag{1.1}$$ p2

where $n > p\alpha$, $p \geq 2$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $0 \leq \mu < C_{n,\alpha,p}$, where $C_{n,\alpha,p}$ is a constant defined later and Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with Lipschitz boundary containing 0. We observe that Ω may be unbounded, and in particular $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$. Here, $(-\Delta)_p^\alpha$ is the fractional Laplacian operator defined as

$$(-\Delta)_p^\alpha u(x) = -2\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We assume the following condition on V:

(A_p) $V \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, $V^+ = V_1 + V_2 \neq 0$ with $V_1 \in L^{\frac{n}{p\alpha}}(\Omega)$ and V_2 is such that

$$\lim_{x\to y, x\in\Omega} |x-y|^{p\alpha}V_2(x) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \overline{\Omega}, \text{ and } \lim_{|x|\to\infty, x\in\Omega} |x|^{p\alpha}V_2(x) = 0.$$

The eigenvalue problem (1.1) is motivated by the following Sharp fractional Hardy inequality:

Theorem 1.1 *(see [15]) Let $n \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then for all $u \in \dot{W}_p^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in case $1 \leq p < n/\alpha$, and for all $u \in \dot{W}_p^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ in case $p > n/\alpha$, we have*

$$\int\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy \geq C_{n,\alpha,p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx \tag{1.2}$$ s1

with

$$C_{n,\alpha,p} := 2\int_0^1 r^{p\alpha-1}|1-r^{(n-p\alpha)/p}|^p\Phi_{n,\alpha,p}(r)dr,$$

and

$$\Phi_{n,\alpha,p}(r) := |\mathbb{S}^{n-2}|\int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}dt}{(1-2rt+r^2)^{\frac{n+p\alpha}{2}}},\ n \geq 2,$$

$$\Phi_{1,\alpha,p}(r) := \left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1+p\alpha}} + \frac{1}{(1+r)^{1+p\alpha}}\right),\ n = 1.$$

The constant $C_{n,\alpha,p}$ is optimal. If $p = 1$, equality in (1.2) holds iff u is proportional to a symmetric decreasing function. If $p > 1$, the inequality in (1.2) is strict for any function $0 \not\equiv u \in \dot{W}_p^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\dot{W}_p^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ respectively.

The fractional power of Laplacian is the infinitesimal generator of Lévy stable diffusion process and arise in anomalous diffusions in plasma, population dynamics, geophysical fluid dynamics, flames propagation, chemical reactions in liquids and American options in finance. For more details, one can see [3, 11] and reference therein. Recently the fractional elliptic equation

attracts a lot of interest in nonlinear analysis such as in [7, 22, 23, 25, 26]. Caffarelli and Silvestre [7] gave a new formulation of fractional Laplacian through Dirichlet-Neumann maps. This is commonly used in the literature since it allows us to write a nonlocal problem to a local problem which allow us to use the variational methods to study the existence and uniqueness. When $\alpha = 1$, the p-fractional Laplacian operator becomes the p-Laplace operator. A lot of work has been done in case of $p-$Laplacian see [2, 20, 18, 21, 27, 28, 19] and references their in. For $\mu = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, the problem (1.1) is studied by Szulkin and Willem in [27]. Also, for $\mu \neq 0$, the problem (1.1) is studied by Sreenadh in [19], which is motivated by the following $p-$Laplace Hardy-Sobolev inequality,

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)^p \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx,$$

for all $1 < p < n$ and for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.
In case of fractional operator, $\mu = 0$, and $V \equiv 1$, the eigenvalue problem (1.1) is studied by Servadei and Valdinoci [23] (for $p = 2$), Lindgren and Lindqvist [13] (for the case $p \geq 2$) and by Franzina and Palatucci [10] (for any $p > 1$). In [13], a lot of attention is given to the asymptotic behavior of problem (1.1) as $p \to \infty$, while in [10], some regularity results for the eigenfunctions are proved. In [12], the author studied the higher eigenvalues of $p-$fractional operator. Stability of the variational eigenvalues is studied in [6]. In [9], authors studied the first eigenvalue and properties of the p-fractional operator with a potential. In case of $\mu = 0$, $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, the properties of first eigenvalue are also studied in [14].
To the best of our knowledge, all the results in this paper are new even in the linear case. There is no work related to the eigenvalue of fractional hardy operator with indefinite weight. This work is motivated by the work of Szulkin and Willem [27] and Sreenadh [19], which has been done for $p-$Laplacian operator. In this paper, we prove the existence of eigenvalues of fractional Hardy-Sobolev operator with singular type indefinite weight and analyze some properties of the associated eigenfunction. Moreover, we show the existence of a sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ of eigenvalues such that $\lambda_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$.
The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give some preliminaries result. In section 3, we show the existence of first eigenvalue and proved some properties of first eigenvalue and corresponding eigenfunction. We give some examples in section 4. In section 5, we obtain a sequence of eigenvalues λ_k such that $\lambda_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$.

We shall throughout use the function space X_0 with the norm $\|.\|$ and we use the standard $L^p(\Omega)$ space whose norms are denoted by $\|u\|_p$.

2 Prelimenaries

In this section, we first define the function space and prove some properties which are useful to find the solution of the the problem (1.1). For this we define $W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$, the usual fractional

Sobolev space $W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega); \frac{(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{\frac{n}{p}+\alpha}} \in L^p(\Omega \times \Omega) \right\}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^p} + \left(\int_{\Omega\times\Omega} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.1}$$ 2

To study fractional Sobolev space in details we refer [8].
For $n \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, the fractional Sobolev space $\dot{W}^{\alpha}_{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\dot{W}^{\alpha}_{p}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ defined as the completion of $C^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 \leq p < n/\alpha$ and $C^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ for $p > n/\alpha$ respectively, with respect to the norm

$$\int\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy.$$

Then we define

$$X_0 = \text{completion of} \{ u \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^n) : u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \}$$

with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_0} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $Q = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega \times \mathcal{C}\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{C}\Omega := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Then X_0 is a reflexive Banach space. Note that the norm $\|.\|_{X_0}$ involves the interaction between Ω and $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. This type of functional setting is introduced by Servadei and Valdinoci for $p = 2$ in [22].
Now we state some result which are used to prove the main result:

bl1 **Lemma 2.1** *(Brezis-Lieb Lemma [5]) Suppose $f_k \to f$ a.e and $\|f_k\|_p \leq C < \infty$ for all k and for some $1 < p < \infty$. Then*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \{ \|f_k\|_p^p - \|f_k - f\|_p^p \} = \|f\|_p^p.$$

In order to prove simplicity of the first eigenvalue, we need the following discrete Picone-type identity (see Lemma 6.2 in [4]).

dp1 **Theorem 2.2** *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$. For $u,\ v : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $u \geq 0$ and $v > 0$, we have*

$$L(u, v) \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

where

$$L(u,v)(x,y) = |u(x)-u(y)|^p - |v(x)-v(y)|^{p-2}(v(x)-v(y)) \left(\frac{u(x)^p}{v(x)^{p-1}} - \frac{u(y)^p}{v(y)^{p-1}} \right).$$

The equality holds if and only if $u = kv$ a.e. for some constant k.

3 On the first eigenvalue of Hardy fractional $p-$Laplacian

In this section, we prove the existence of first eigenvalue of the problem . We show that the first eigenvalue λ_1 is simple by discrete Picone identity and eigenfunctions associated to λ_1 have definite sign in Ω. Finally, we also prove a result on the strict monotonicity of λ_1 with respect to both the domain and the weight.

First, we note the some properties of the $p-$fractional Hardy operator

$$L_\mu(u) := -2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dy - \mu\frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^{p\alpha}}.$$

(i) $L_\mu(u)$ is a positive operator, for all $u \in X_0$ and $0 \le \mu < C_{n,\alpha,p}$.

Also, if

$$R(u) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy - \mu\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Then, by the fractional Hardy inequality (1.2), for $0 \le \mu < C_{n,\alpha,p}$, we have

(i) $R(u)$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{X_0}$ but it does not define the norm itself.

We define the functional J_μ on X_0 as

$$J_\mu(u) := \int_Q \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy - \mu\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx.$$

Then J is C^1 on X_0. Our goal is to study the eigenvalue problem and some properties (simplicity, isolatedness) of

$$\lambda_1 := \inf\{J_\mu(u) : u \in M := \{u \in X_0 : \int_\Omega V|u|^p dx = 1\}\}. \tag{3.1}$$ i1

In order to prove the existence of an eigenvalue, we need to prove the following Lemma:

v1 **Lemma 3.1** *Under the assumption (A_p), the map $T : X_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as*

$$T(u) = \int_\Omega V^+|u|^p dx$$

is weakly continuous.

Proof. Step 1. One can see the proof of Lemma 2.13 in [30] for $\alpha = 1$ but for completeness, we give the detail. Firstly, we show that $\int_\Omega V_1|u|^p dx$ is weakly continuous. For this, suppose $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in X_0. Then by fractional Sobolev inequality, $u_k \to u$ strongly in L^r for $1 \le r < p^*_\alpha = \frac{np}{n-p\alpha}$ and $u_k \to u$ a.e on Ω. Since $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $L^{p^*_\alpha}$, $\{u_k^p\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{n}{n-p\alpha}}(\Omega)$. Hence up to a subsequence, $u_k^p \rightharpoonup u^p$ weakly in $L^{\frac{n}{n-p\alpha}}$ and $V_1 \in L^{\frac{n}{p\alpha}}(\Omega)$ so $\int_\Omega V_1|u_k|^p dx \to \int_\Omega V_1|u|^p dx$.

Step 2. In order to prove that $\int_\Omega V_2|u|^p dx$ is weakly continuous. Let $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in X_0 and $\epsilon > 0$. Then by assumption, there exists $R > 0$ such that if $x \in \Omega$ and $|x| \geq R$, then $|x|^{p\alpha} V_2(x) \leq \epsilon$. Define

$$\Omega_1 := \Omega \setminus B[0,R], \quad \Omega_2 := \Omega \setminus B(0,R), \quad c := \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{C_{n,\alpha,p}}} \sup_k \|u_k\|.$$

Then (A_p) and fractional Hardy inequality (1.2), implies that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} V_1|u_k|^p dx \leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|u_k|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} dx \leq \epsilon c^p, \tag{3.2}$$ h1

and similarly,

$$\int_{\Omega_1} V_1|u|^p dx \leq \epsilon c^p. \tag{3.3}$$ h2

By compactness, there is a finite covering of $\overline{\Omega}_2$ by closed balls $B[x_1, r_1], \cdots, B[x_l, r_l]$ such that for $1 \leq j \leq l$,

$$|x - x_j| \leq r_j \quad \text{implies} \quad |x - x_j|^{p\alpha} V_2(x) \leq \epsilon. \tag{3.4}$$ h3

Then there exists $r = \min\{r_1, \cdots, r_l\} > 0$ such that for $1 \leq j \leq l$,

$$|x - x_j| \leq r \quad \text{implies} \quad |x - x_j|^{p\alpha} V_2(x) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{l}.$$

Let us define $K := \cup_{j=1}^l B[x_j, r]$, then by the fractional Hardy inequality,

$$\int_K V_2|u_k|^p dx \leq \epsilon c^p, \quad \int_K V_2|u|^p dx \leq \epsilon c^p. \tag{3.5}$$ h4

It follows from (3.4) that $V_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega_2 \setminus K)$. Since $\Omega_2 \setminus K$ is bounded, $V_2 \in L^{\frac{n}{p\alpha}}(\Omega_2 \setminus K)$ so that by step 1,

$$\int_{\Omega_2 \setminus K} V_2|u_k|^p dx \to \int_{\Omega_2 \setminus K} V_2|u|^p dx. \tag{3.6}$$ h5

We deduce from (3.2), (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$\int_\Omega V_2|u_k|^p dx \to \int_\Omega V_2|u|^p dx.$$

Combing the step 1 and step 2, we get the required result. □

m1 **Theorem 3.2** *The eigenvalue λ_1 is attained.*

Proof. Let $\{u_k\}$ be a sequence in $M := \{u \in X_0 : \int_\Omega V|u|^p dx = 1\}$ such that $J(u_k) \to \lambda_1$. Then by fractional Hardy inequality, $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in X_0 and X_0 is reflexive. Then there exists a subsequence $\{u_k\}$ of $\{u_k\}$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \to u$ a.e in Ω. Since $J(u) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq \mu < C_{n,\alpha,p}$. So it is bounded below on M. By Ekeland variational principle, we can find a sequence $\{v_k\}$ such that

$$J(v_k) \leq J(u_k), \quad \|u_k - v_k\| \leq \frac{1}{k}, \tag{3.7}$$ feq15

$$J(v_k) \leq J(u) + \frac{1}{k}\|v_k - u\| \text{ for all } u \in M. \tag{3.8}$$ feq020

Clearly $J(v_k)$ is a bounded, follows from (3.7). So we only need to prove that $\|J'(v_k)\|_* \to 0$. Let $k > \frac{1}{\delta}$ and take $w \in X_0$ tangent to M at v_k i.e

$$\int_\Omega V|v_k|^{p-2}v_k w dx = 0,$$

and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$u_t := \frac{v_k + tw}{(\int_\Omega V|v_k + tw|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

Then $u_t \in M$ and replacing u by u_t in (3.8), we get

$$J(v_k) \leq J(u_t) + \frac{1}{k}\|u_t - v_k\|.$$

Define $r(t) := (\int_\Omega V|v_k + tw|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{J(v_k) - J(v_k + tw)}{t} &\leq \frac{J(u_t) + \frac{1}{k}\|u_t - v_k\| - J(v_k + tw)}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{k\ t\ r(t)}\|v_k(1 - r(t) + tw)\| + \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{1}{r(t)^p} - 1\right) J(v_k + tw)\end{aligned}$$

As

$$\frac{d}{dt}r(t)^p|_{t=0} = p\int_\Omega V|v_k|^{p-2}v_k w = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{r(t)^p - 1}{t} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to 0,$$

and then

$$\frac{1 - r(t)}{t} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to 0.$$

So, we have

$$\frac{J(v_k) - J(v_k + tw)}{t} \leq \frac{1}{k}\|w\| \text{ as } t \to 0,$$

that means

$$|\langle J'(v_k), w\rangle| \leq \frac{1}{k}\|w\|. \tag{3.9}$$ feq16

Since w is arbitrary in X_0, we choose a_k such that $\int_\Omega V|v_k|^{p-2}v_k(w-a_kv_k)dx=0$. Replacing w by $w-a_kv_k$ in (3.9), we have

$$\left|\langle J'(v_k),w\rangle - a_k\langle J'(v_k),v_k\rangle\right| \le \frac{1}{k}\|w-a_kv_k\|,$$

since $\|a_kv_k\|\le C\|w\|$, we get

$$\left|\langle J'(v_k),w\rangle - t_k\int_\Omega |v_k|^{p-2}v_kwdx\right| \le \frac{C}{k}\|w\|$$

where $t_k=\langle J'(v_k),v_k\rangle$. Hence, on M,

$$\|J'(v_k)\|_* \to 0 \text{ as } k\to\infty. \tag{3.10}$$ k1

Thus we obtain that, $\{v_k\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence. By the boundedness of the sequence $\{v_k\}$, we have that up to a subsequence $v_k \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in X_0. So, by the weak convergence of v_k we have $\langle J'(u),(u_k-u)\rangle\to\infty$. and by (3.10) $\langle J'(v_k),v_k-v\rangle\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_Q \frac{|v_k(x)-v_k(y)|^{p-2}(v_k(x)-v_k(y))((v_k-v)(x)-(v_k-v)(y))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy-\mu\int_\Omega\frac{|v_k|^{p-2}v_k(v_k-v)}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx\right|\\ &\quad\le O(\epsilon_k)+s\|v_k^+\|_p^{p-1}\|v_k-v\|_p+|t_k|\|v_k\|_p^{p-1}\|v_k-v\|_p\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$ feq08

By Brezis-Lieb Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\int_Q\frac{|(v_k-v)(x)-(v_k-v)(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy=\int_Q\frac{|v_k(x)-v_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy-\int_Q\frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy+o(1)$$

$$\left\|\frac{(v_k-v)}{|x|^\alpha}\right\|_p^p=\left\|\frac{v_k}{|x|^\alpha}\right\|_p^p-\left\|\frac{v}{|x|^\alpha}\right\|_p^p+o(1)$$

Using above relation, we obtain from (1.2) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned}o(1)&=\int_Q\frac{|v_k(x)-v_k(y)|^{p-2}(v_k(x)-v_k(y))((v_k-v)(x)-(v_k-v)(y))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy-\mu\int_\Omega\frac{|v_k|^{p-2}v_k(v_k-v)}{|x|^{p\alpha}}\\ &\ge\left(1-\frac{\mu}{C(n,p,\alpha)}\right)\int_Q\frac{|(v_k-v)(x)-(v_k-v)(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy\end{aligned}$$

Hence $v_k\to v$ strongly in X_0. Thus as $k\to\infty$ we obtain

$$(-\Delta)^sv-\mu\frac{|v|^{p-2}v}{|x|^{p\alpha}}=\lambda V(x)|v|^{p-2}v \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega). \tag{3.12}$$

Observe that

$$\int_\Omega V^-|v_k|^pdx=\int_\Omega V^+|v_k|^pdx-1\to\int_\Omega V^+|v|^pdx-1$$

as $k\to\infty$. Now using Fatous Lemma, we can conclude that $v\not\equiv 0$. □

Theorem 3.3 *λ_1 is simple in the sense that eigenfunctions associated to it are merely a constant multiple of each other.*

Proof. Let ϕ_1 and u are two eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_1. Let $\{\psi_k\}$ be a sequence of functions such that $\psi_k \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi_k(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$, $\psi_k \geq 0$, $\psi_k \to \phi_1$ in X_0 and convergent a.e. in Ω. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_Q \frac{|\phi_1(x)-\phi_1(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy - \mu\int_\Omega \frac{|\phi_1|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx - \lambda_1\int_\Omega V(x)|\phi_1|^p dx \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_Q \frac{|\psi_k(x)-\psi_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy - \mu\int_\Omega \frac{|\psi_k|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx - \lambda_1\int_\Omega V(x)|\psi_k|^p dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$ k2

Let $w_k := \frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}$. Then we show that $w_k \in X_0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |w_k(x)-w_k(y)| &= \left|\frac{\psi_k^p(x)-\psi_k^p(y)}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(x)} + \frac{\psi_k^p(y)((u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(x)-(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(y))}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(x)(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(y)}\right| \\ &\leq k^{p-1}|\psi_k^p(x)-\psi_k^p(y)| + \|\psi_k\|_\infty^p \frac{|(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(x)-(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(y)|}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(x)(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(y)} \\ &\leq k^{p-1}p(\psi_k^{p-1}(x)+\psi_k^{p-1}(y))|\psi_k(y)-\psi_k(x)| \\ &+ \|\psi_k\|_\infty^p(p-1)\frac{|(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-2}(x)+(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-2}(y)|}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(x)(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(y)}\left|\left(u+\frac{1}{k}\right)(x)-\left(u+\frac{1}{k}\right)(y)\right| \\ &\leq 2pk^{p-1}\|\psi_k\|_\infty^{p-1}|\psi_k(x)-\psi_k(y)| \\ &+ \|\psi_k\|_\infty^p(p-1)\left(\frac{1}{(u+\frac{1}{k})(x)(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(y)}+\frac{1}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}(x)(u+\frac{1}{k})(y)}\right)|u(x)-u(y)| \\ &\leq C(k,p,\|\psi_k\|_\infty)(|\psi_k(x)-\psi_k(y)|+|u(x)-u(y)|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, $w_k \in X_0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, as ψ_k, $u \in X_0$. Now, testing the equation satisfied by u with w_k, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Q \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))\left(\frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}(x)-\frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy \\ &\qquad = \int_\Omega \left(\lambda_1 V(x)+\frac{\mu}{|x|^{p\alpha}}\right)\psi_k^p\left(\frac{u}{u+\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{p-1}dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$ k3

Now by equations (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_Q \frac{|\psi_k(x)-\psi_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy \\ &\quad - \int_Q \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))\left(\frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}(x)-\frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_Q L(\psi_k,u) \geq \int_Q L(\phi_1,u) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Fatous Lemma. Therefore by discrete Picone identity (2.2), we have $\phi_1 = lu$ a.e for some constant l. Hence λ_1 is simple. □

pt3 **Theorem 3.4** *Eigenfunctions corresponding to other eigenvalues changes sign.*

Proof. Let ϕ_1 and u be the eigenfunctions corresponding to λ_1 and λ respectively. Then ϕ_1 and u satisfies

$$-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|\phi_1(x)-\phi_1(y)|^{p-2}(\phi_1(y)-\phi_1(x))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dy-\mu\frac{|\phi_1|^{p-2}\phi_1}{|x|^{p\alpha}}=\lambda_1 V(x)\phi_1^{p-1}\text{ in }\mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \quad (3.15)$$ l1

$$-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(y)-u(x))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dy-\mu\frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^{p\alpha}}=\lambda V(x)|u|^{p-2}u\text{ in }\mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \quad (3.16)$$ l2

respectively. Suppose u does not changes the sign. Then we may assume $u\geq 0$. Let $\{\psi_k\}$ be a sequence in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi_k=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega$, $\psi_k\to\phi_1$ as $k\to\infty$. Now we consider the test functions $w_1=\phi_1$, $w_2=\frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}$. Then w_1, $w_2\in X_0$. Taking w_1 and w_2 as test functions in (3.15) and (3.16) respectively, we obtain

$$\int_Q\frac{|\phi_1(x)-\phi_1(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy-\mu\int_\Omega\frac{|\phi_1|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx=\lambda_1\int_\Omega V(x)|\phi_1|^p dx \quad (3.17)$$ l3

$$\int_Q\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}\left(\frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}(x)-\frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}(y)\right)dxdy$$

$$-\mu\int_\Omega\frac{|u|^{p-2}u\frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx=\lambda_1\int_\Omega V(x)|u|^{p-2}u\frac{\psi_k^p}{(u+\frac{1}{k})^{p-1}}dx.$$

Since $L(\psi_k,u+\frac{1}{k})\geq 0$, we have

$$\int_Q\frac{|\psi_k(x)-\psi_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy-\int_\Omega\left(\lambda V(x)+\frac{\mu}{|x|^{p\alpha}}\right)\psi_k^p\left(\frac{u}{u+\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{p-1}\geq 0. \quad (3.18)$$ l4

Subtracting (3.17) from (3.18) and taking limit as $k\to\infty$, we obtain

$$(\lambda-\lambda_1)\int_\Omega V(x)\phi_1^p\leq 0,$$

which gives a contradiction to fact that $\lambda>\lambda_1$. □

Theorem 3.5 *Let V_1, V_2 be two weights and assume that $V_1\leq V_2$ a.e. and $|\{x\in\Omega: V_1(x)<V_2(x)\}|\neq 0$. Then $\lambda_1(V_2)<\lambda_1(V_1)$.*

Proof. Let u be an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_1(V_1)$. Since

$$0<\lambda_1(V_1)^{-1}\left(\int_Q\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy-\mu\int_\Omega\frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx\right)=\int_\Omega V_1|u|^p dx\leq\int_\Omega V_2|u|^p dx,$$

we use $\frac{u}{(\int_\Omega V_2|u|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ as an admissible function in infimum of (3.1) for $\lambda_1(V_2)$. We have

$$\lambda_1(V_2)\leq\frac{\int_Q\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy-\mu\int_\Omega\frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}}dx}{\int_\Omega V_2|u|^p dx}\leq\frac{\int_Q\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy-\mu\int_\Omega\frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}}}{\int_\Omega V_1|u|^p dx}=\lambda_1(V_1).$$

Thus $\lambda_1(V_2)\leq\lambda_1(V_1)$. Suppose the equality holds if and only if $\int_\Omega V_1|u|^p dx=\int_\Omega V_2|u|^p dx$. This last identity implies that $V_1\equiv V_2$, which contradicts our hypothesis. Hence $\lambda_1(V_2)<\lambda_1(V_1)$. □

Theorem 3.6 *Let Ω_1 be a proper open subset of a domain $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\lambda_1(\Omega_2) < \lambda_1(\Omega_1)$.*

Proof. Let $u \in X_0(\Omega_1)$ be an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_1(\Omega_1)$ and put $\tilde{u}$ the function obtained by extending u by 0 in $\Omega_2 \backslash \Omega_1$. Then $\tilde{u} \in X_0(\Omega_2)$ and $\int_{\Omega_2} V|\tilde{u}|^p dx = \int_{\Omega_1} V|\tilde{u}|^p dx > 0$. Using $\frac{\tilde{u}}{(\int_\Omega V_2|\tilde{u}|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ as an admissible function for $\lambda_1(\Omega_2)$, we obtain,

$$\lambda_1(\Omega_2) \leq \frac{\int_{Q|_{\Omega_2}} \frac{|\tilde{u}(x)-\tilde{u}(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|\tilde{u}|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} dx}{\int_\Omega V_2|\tilde{u}|^p dx} \leq \frac{\int_{Q|_{\Omega_1}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} dx}{\int_\Omega V_1|u|^p dx} = \lambda_1(\Omega_1),$$

where $Q|_{\Omega_i} = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \backslash \mathcal{C}\Omega_i \times \mathcal{C}\Omega_i$ and $\mathcal{C}\Omega_i = \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_i$. The equality hold only if $\tilde{u}$ is an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_1(\Omega_2)$ but this is impossible because $|\tilde{u} = 0| > 0$ is a contradiction. □

4 Examples and counterexamples:

If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, then we have the following results:

Theorem 4.1 *If $|x|^{p\alpha}V(x) \to \infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$ or $|x - z|^{p\alpha}V(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to z$ for some z, then the infimum in (1.1) is 0 (and is not achieved).*

Proof. We only consider the case of $|x|^{p\alpha}V(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to 0$, the other cases being similar. Let $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and set $u_r(x) = u(x/r)$. Then

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u_r(x)-u_r(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u_r(x)|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(x)|u_r(x)|^p dx} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |rx|^{p\alpha}V(rx)\frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} dx}. \tag{4.1}$$

Since u has compact support and $\frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows easily that the right hand side above tends to 0 as $r \to 0$. In case of $|x| \to \infty$, the function $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ should be chosen so that $0 \notin \text{supp } u$. □

Now we give some example of potential V:

Example 1 *Define*

$$W_1(x) = \frac{1}{(1 + |x|^{2\alpha})[\log(2 + |x|^{2\alpha})]^{\frac{2\alpha}{n}}}$$

and

$$W_2(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{2\alpha}(1 + |x|^{2\alpha}) \left[\log\left(2 + \frac{1}{|x|^{2\alpha}}\right)\right]^{\frac{2\alpha}{n}}}.$$

Then by Theorem (5.1) for $p = 2$ and Theorem (5.4) for $p \neq 2$, (1.1) has infinitely many positive eigenvalue if $V = W_1$ or W_2 although W_1, W_2 are not in $L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. But we observe that $W_1 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $q > \frac{n}{2\alpha}$, $W_2 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $q \in (\frac{n}{4\alpha}, \frac{n}{2\alpha})$.
If $W_3(x) = \frac{1}{1+|x|^{2\alpha}}$ and $W_4(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{2\alpha}(1+|x|^{2\alpha})}$. Then W_3 and W_4 are not in $L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and are in the same L^q-spaces as W_1 and W_2 respectively. Moreover, If we take $W_3(x)$, $W_4(x) = V_2$ as

in assumption of (A_p)*, we see that both the condition stated below, for* W_3 *and first condition for* W_3*,*

$$\lim_{x\to y, x\in\Omega} |x-y|^{p\alpha} V_2(x) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \overline{\Omega}, \text{ and } \lim_{|x|\to\infty, x\in\Omega} |x|^{p\alpha} V_2(x) = 0$$

are not satisfied. So, we can't say anything about the existence of eigenvalue. □

5 On the Higher eigenvalue

In this section, we show the existence of a sequence of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}$ of (1.1) such that $\lambda_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ using the Ljusternik-Schnirelman critical point theory on C^1 manifold.

In order to obtain the higher eigenvalue of (1.1) in the linear case $p = 2$, we solve the following problem

$$(P_k) \text{ minimize} \int_Q \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}} dxdy - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2\alpha}} dx, u \in X_0$$
$$\int_Q \frac{(u(x)-u(y)(\phi_1(x)-\phi_1(y)))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy = \cdots = \int_Q \frac{(u(x)-u(y)(\phi_{k-1}(x)-\phi_{k-1}(y)))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy = 0,$$
$$\int_\Omega V u^2 dx = 1, \text{ where } \phi_j \text{ is a solution of } (P_j), 1 \le j \le k-1.$$

m2 **Theorem 5.1** *Under assumption* (A_2)*, for every* $n > 2\alpha$*, problem* (P_k) *has a solution* ϕ_k*. Moreover,* ϕ_k *is an eigenfunction of* (P_k) *corresponding to eigenvalue* $\lambda_k := \int_Q \frac{|\phi_k(x)-\phi_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}} dxdy - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{\phi_k^2}{|x|^{2\alpha}} dx$ *and* $\lambda_k \to \infty$ *as* $k \to \infty$*.*

Proof. The existence of ϕ_k is proved as in Theorem 3.2. An elementary argument in [23] shows that ϕ_k is an eigenfunction of (P_k) corresponding to eigenvalue

$$\lambda_k := \int_Q \frac{|\phi_k(x)-\phi_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}} dxdy - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{\phi_k^2}{|x|^{2\alpha}} dx.$$

The sequence $f_k := \phi_k/\sqrt{\lambda_k}$ is orthonormal in X_0 so that $f_k \rightharpoonup 0$. Since

$$\lambda_k^{-1} = \lambda_k^{-1} \left(\int_Q \frac{|f_k(x)-f_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}} dxdy - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{f_k^2}{|x|^{2\alpha}} dx \right) = \int_\Omega V f_k^2 dx,$$

Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$0 \le \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k^{-1} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega V f_k^2 dx \le 0,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma. □

Since the equation (1.1) is nonlinear (unless $p = 2$), it is not possible to obtain higher eigenvalues by the method of above Theorem 5.1. For this, we will use the Ljusternik-Schnirelman critical point theory on C^1 manifold proved by [29]. Let

$$J_\mu(u) := \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} dx \text{ and } \Psi(u) := \int_\Omega V|u|^p dx.$$

Since the set $\{u \in X_0 : \int_\Omega V|u|^p dx = 1\}$ is a not a manifold in X_0 unless further assumptions are made on V^-. So we introduce a new space $X := \{u \in X_0 : \|u\|_X < \infty\}$, where

$$\|u\|_X^p := \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy + \int_\Omega V^-|u|^p dx.$$

Then $M := \{u \in X : \int_\Omega V|u|^p dx = 1\}$ is a C^1-manifold as a subset of the space X. Moreover critical points of $\phi|_M$ are eigenfunctions and corresponding critical values are eigenvalues of (1.1). On this space, we define the functional

$$J_\mu(u) = \frac{\int_Q \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} dx}{\int_\Omega V|u|^p dx}.$$

Let $\tilde{J}_\mu$ denote the restriction of J_μ to M and let $\Psi^\pm(u) := \int_\Omega V^\pm|u|^p dx$. Then we prove the following Lemma:

Lemma 5.2 *If V satisfies (A_p), then the following holds:*

(*i*) *The Fréchet derivatives of Ψ^+ is completely continuous as a mapping from X to X^*.*

(*ii*) *$\Psi^+(u) \le cJ_\mu(u)$ for some $c > 0$ and for all $u \in X$, $0 \le u < C_{n,\alpha,p}$.*

Proof.

(*i*) Let $u_k \rightharpoonup u$. By the Hölder and the fractional Sobolev inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega V_1(|u_k|^{p-2}u_k - |u|^{p-2}u)vdx &\le \left(\int_\Omega V_1||u_k|^{p-2}u_k - |u|^{p-2}u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_\Omega V_1|v|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\le c_1\|v\|_X \left(\int_\Omega V_1||u_k|^{p-2}u_k - |u|^{p-2}u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $||u_k|^{p-2}u_k - |u|^{p-2}u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^{\frac{n}{n-p\alpha}}(\Omega)$ (indeed, otherwise there would exist a subsequence converging weakly to some $v \neq 0$ and a.e to 0, a contradiction). Since $V_1 \in L^{n/p\alpha}(\Omega)$, the right hand side above tends to 0 uniformly for $\|v\|_X \le 1$. This shows the complete continuity of the V_1-part.

Using the notation of Lemma 3.1 and the Hölder, the fractional Hardy and the fractional Sobolev inequalities, we see that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} V_2(|u_k|^{p-2}u_k - |u|^{p-2}u)vdx \le c_2\epsilon\|v\|_X(\|u_k\|_X^{p-1} + \|u_k\|_X^{p-1}) \le c_3\epsilon\|v\|_X.$$

Similarly

$$\int_K V_2(|u_k|^{p-2}u_k - |u|^{p-2}u)vdx \leq c_4\epsilon\|v\|_X,$$

where $c_i : s$ are independent of ϵ. Since $\Omega_2 \setminus K$ is bounded and $V_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega_2 \setminus K)$. It follows that $|u_k|^{p-2}u_k \rightharpoonup |u|^{p-2}u$ in $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega_2 \setminus K)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega_2\setminus K} V_2(|u_k|^{p-2}u_k - |u|^{p-2}u)vdx \to 0.$$

(*ii*) By the Hölder and the fractional Sobolev inequalities,

$$\int_\Omega V_1|u|^p dx \leq c_5 \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy \leq C_5 J_\mu(u).$$

Fixing some $\epsilon > 0$ and using the Hölder, the fractional Hardy and the Sobolev inequality again, it is easy to see that

$$\int_{\Omega_1} V_2|u|^p dx \leq c_6 \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy \leq C_6 J_\mu(u).$$

and similar inequalities holds for K and $\Omega \setminus K$. Hence the conclusion now follows by recalling the definition of Ψ^+ and J_μ. □

Let $t > 0$ and let $A_t : X \to X^*$ be the operator given by

$$\langle A_t(u), \phi\rangle = \int_Q \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))(\phi(x)-\phi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}}dxdy - \mu\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p-2}uv}{|x|^{p\alpha}} + t\int_\Omega V^-|u|^{p-2}u\phi.$$

le1 **Lemma 5.3** *If $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in X and $\langle A_t(u_k), u_k - u\rangle \to 0$, then $u_k \to u$ strongly in X.*

Proof. Clearly, using the weak convergence of u_k and given hypothesis, we have

$$\langle A_t(u_k) - A_t(u), u_k - u\rangle \to 0. \tag{5.1}$$ a1

Now, using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega V^-(|u_k|^{p-2}u_k - |u|^{p-2}u)(u_k-u)dx = \int_\Omega V^-(|u_k|^p + |u|^p - |u_k|^{p-2}u_k u - |u|^{p-2}uu_k)dx\\
&\geq \int_\Omega V^-(|u_k|^p + |u|^p) - \left(\int_\Omega V^-|u_k|^p\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_\Omega V^-|u|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} - \left(\int_\Omega V^-|u|^p\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_\Omega V^-|u_k|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&= \left[\left(\int_\Omega V^-|u_k|^p\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} - \left(\int_\Omega V^-|u|^p\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\right]\left[\left(\int_\Omega V^-|u_k|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} - \left(\int_\Omega V^-|u|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] \geq 0. \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}$$ a2

Now, by Brezis-Lieb Lemma (2.1), we have

$$\|u_k - u\| = \|u_k\| - \|u\| + o(1)$$

$$\left\| \frac{u_k - u}{|x|^\alpha} \right\|_p^p = \left\| \frac{u_k}{|x|^\alpha} \right\|_p^p - \left\| \frac{u}{|x|^\alpha} \right\|_p^p + o(1).$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle A_t(u_k) - A_t(u), u_k - u \rangle \\ &= \langle J'_\mu(u_k) - J'_\mu(u), (u_k - u) \rangle + t \left(\int_\Omega V^-(|u_k|^{p-2}u_k - |u|^{p-2}u)(u_k - u)dx \right) \\ &\geq \int_Q \frac{|(u_k - u)(x) - (u_k - u)(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u_k - u|^p}{|x|^{p\alpha}} dx \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{c(n, p, \alpha)} \right) \|u_k - u_0\| + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u_k \to u$ strongly in X_0. Using this, (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$\int_\Omega V^- |u_k|^p dx \to \int_\Omega V^- |u|^p dx.$$

Hence $u_k \to u$ strongly in X. □

We define $\lambda_k = \inf_{\gamma(A) \geq k} \sup_{u \in A} J_\mu(u)$, where A is a closed subset of M such that $A = -A$ and $\gamma(A)$ is the Krasnoselskii genus of A. Since $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; V(x) > 0\}$ has positive measure, for each k there is a set $A \subset M$ which is homeomorphic to the unit sphere $S^{k-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ by an odd homeomorphism. Since $\gamma(S^{k-1}) = k$, there exist sets of arbitrarily large genus and all λ_k are all well-defined. Moreover, $\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in M} J_\mu(u)$. Hence λ_1 coincides with the first eigenvalue obtained in Theorem 3.2 and $\lambda_k \geq \lambda_1 > 0$ for all k.

As λ_k is a critical value of $J_\mu|_M$, there exists a critical point ϕ_k with $J_\mu(\phi_k) = \lambda_k$. Hence $J'_\mu(\phi_k) = \beta\psi'(\phi_k)$, where β is a Lagrange multipliers and is satisfied with $u = \phi_k$ and $\beta = \lambda$. Since $pJ_\mu(\phi_k) = \langle J'_\mu(\phi_k), \phi_k \rangle = \beta \langle \psi'(\phi_k), \phi_k \rangle = p\beta$, we have $\beta = J_\mu(\phi_k) = \lambda_k$, so λ_k is an eigenvalue and ϕ_k is corresponding eigenfunction.

m3 **Theorem 5.4** *Under assumption (H_p), $J_\mu|M$ has a sequence of critical points ϕ_k with corresponding critical value $\lambda_k = \int_Q \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\alpha}} dxdy$. Moreover, each ϕ_k is an eigenfunction of (1.1), λ_k is an associated eigenvalue, and $\lambda_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_k\}$ be a Palaise-Smale sequence. Then there exists $\eta_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$J'_\mu(u_k) - \eta_k \Psi'(u_k) \to 0. \tag{5.3}$$ a

Since $J_\mu(u_k)$ is bounded, so is $\Psi^+(u_k)$ according to Lemma and therefore

$$\Psi^-(u_k) = \Psi^+(u_k) - 1 \tag{5.4}$$ b

is bounded. Hence $\|u_k\|_X^p = J_\mu(u_k) + \Psi^-(u_k)$ is bounded and we assume passing to a subsequence that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in X. Since $(\Psi^+)'$ is completely continuous, $\Psi^+(u_k) \to \Psi^+(u)$ and it follows from (5.4) that $u \neq 0$. By (5.3)

$$p(J_\mu(u_k) - \eta_k) = \langle J'_\mu(u_k), u_k \rangle - \eta_k \langle \Psi'(u_k), u_k \rangle \to 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Therefore η_k is bounded and we assume that $\eta_k \to \eta$. Moreover taking the limit in above, we obtain $0 < J_\mu(u) \leq \eta$, so $\eta > 0$. Now we may rewrite 5.3, as

$$A_{\eta_k}(u_k) - \eta_k(\Psi^+)'(u_k) \to 0.$$

Since $A_{\eta_k}(u_k) - A_\eta(u_k) \to 0$, as it can be easily seen from the definition of A_η and since $(\Psi^+)'(u_k) \to (\Psi^+)'(u)$. It follows that $A_\mu(u_k)$ is strongly convergent. So, $\lambda A_\eta(u_k), u_k - u \to 0$ and $u_k \to u$ according to Lemma 5.3. Thus we have shown that $J_\mu|_M$ satisfy the Palaise-Smale condition. It follows from our earlier discussion that each λ_k is a critical value of $J_\mu|M$ and an eigenvalue of the problem . Since $\lambda_k \geq C\lambda_k^0$ are eigenvalues of L_0, we have $\lambda_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ see Proposition of 2.2 in [2].

References

[1] Adimurthi, *HardySobolev inequality in $H^1(\Omega)$ and its applications*, Commun. Contemp. Math., 3 (2002) 409-434.

[2] Adimurthi and K. Sandeep, *Existence and non-existence of the first eigenvalue of the perturbed HardySobolev operator,* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 132 (5) (2002) 1021-1043.

[3] D. Applebaum, *Lèvy process-from probability to finance and quantum groups*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 51 (2004) 1336-1347.

[4] S. Amghibech, *On the discrete version of Picone's identity*, Discrete App. Math., 156 (1) (2008) 1-10.

[5] H. Brezis and E. Lieb, *A relation between point convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. AMS, 88 (1983) 486-490.

[6] L. Brasco, E. Parini and M. Squassina, *Stability of variational eigenvalues for the fractional p-Laplacian*, arXiv:1503.04182v1.

[7] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations. **32** (2007) 1245-1260.

[8] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math., 136 (2012) 225-236.

[9] L. D. Pezzo, J. F. Bonder and L. L. Rios, *An optimization problem for the first eigenvalue of the $p-$fractional Laplacian,* arXiv:1601.03019v1 [math.AP].

[10] G. Franzina and G. Palatucci, *Fractional p-eigenvalues*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1307.1789v1.pdf.

[11] A. Garroni and S. Müller, *G-limit of a phase-field model of dislocations*, SIMA J. Math. Anal.. **36** (2005) 1943-1964.

[12] A. Iannizzotto and M. Squassina, *Weyl-type laws for fractional $p-$eigenvalue problems*, Asymptot. Anal., 88 (1) (2014) 233-245.

[13] E. Lindgren and P. Lindqvist, *Fractional eigenvalues*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 49 (2013) 795-826.

[14] L. M. Del Pezzo and Alexander Quaas, *Global bifurcation for fractional p-Laplacian and application*, arXiv:1412.4722v2.

[15] Rupert L. Frank and Robert Seiringer, *Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequlities*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 255 (2008) 3407-3430.

[16] S. Goyal and K. Sreenadh, *Existence of multiple solutions of p-fractional Laplace operator with sign-changing weight function,* Adv. Nonlinear Anal., 4 (1) (2015) 37-58.

[17] S. Goyal and K. Sreenadh, *The Nehari manifold for non-local elliptic operator with concave-convex non-linearities and sign-changing weight functions*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., 125 (4) (2015) 545-558.

[18] V. Raghavendra and K. Sreenadh, *Strong resonance for Hardy-Sobolev operator*, Applicable analysis, 82 (3) (2003) 241-252.

[19] K. Sreenadh, *On the eigenvalue problem for the Hardy-Sobolev operator with indefinite weights*, Electronic Journal of differential equations, 33 (2002) 1-12.

[20] K. Sandeep and K. Sreenadh, *Asymptotic behaviour of the rst eigenfunction of a perturbed Hardy-Sobolev operator*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and applications, 53 (3) (2003) 545-563.

[21] K. Sreenadh, *On the second eigenvalue of a Hardy-Sobolev operator*, Electronic Journal of differential equations, 12 (2004) 1-9.

[22] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Mountain pass solutions for non-local elliptic operators,* J. Math. Anal. Appl., 389 (2) (2012) 887-898.

[23] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 33 (5) (2013) 2105-2137.

[24] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Lewy-Stampacchia type estimates for variational inequalities driven by non-local operators*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 29 (2013) 1091-1126.

[25] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *A Brezis Nirenberg result for non-local critical equations in low dimension,* Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 12 (6) (2013) 2445-2464.

[26] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *The Brezis Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 367 (2015) 67-102.

[27] A. Szulkin and M. Willem, *Eigenvalue problems with indefinite weights*, Stud. Math, 135 (2) (1999) 199-208.

[28] A. Szulkin, *Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory on C^1-manifolds,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal, Non Lineaire, 5 (1988) 119-139.

[29] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods*, Springer, New york 2000.

[30] M. Willem, *Minimax methods*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1996.